

1 JOHN D. PERNICK (SBN 155468)  
2 jpernick@be-law.com  
BERGESON, LLP  
3 111 North Market Street, Suite 600  
San Jose, CA 95113  
4 Telephone: (408) 291-6200  
Facsimile: (408) 297-6000

5 Attorneys for Defendant  
6 GRAPH FOUNDATION, INC.

7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 NEO4J, INC., a Delaware corporation

Case No. 5:19-CV-06226-EJD

12 Plaintiff,

**GRAPH FOUNDATION, INC.'S  
[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING MOTION  
TO COMPEL**

13 vs.

14 GRAPH FOUNDATION, INC., an Ohio  
corporation,

16 Defendant.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Now before the Court is Plaintiff Neo4j, Inc.'s Motion to Compel Defendant Graph  
2 Foundation Inc. to provide further responses and produce additional documents in response to  
3 Neo4j's Requests for Production Set 1.

4 Having considered the Parties' Joint Statement with respect to this discovery dispute and  
5 the materials filed therewith, and good cause appearing therefore:

6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 7 1. Neo4j's Motion to Compel Graph Foundation to produce documents from AtomRain,  
8 Inc. and GraphGrid, Inc. is denied. Neo4j has failed to meet its burden of establishing  
9 that Graph Foundation has a legal right to obtain documents from third-party entities  
10 AtomRain, Inc. or GraphGrid, Inc. *Steele Software Systems Corp. v. DataQuick Info.*  
11 *Systems, Inc.*, 237 F.R.D. 561, 565 (D. MD 2006).
- 12 2. Neo4j's Motion to Compel Graph Foundation to produce documents containing donor  
13 information and donation amounts is denied. Neo4j has failed to demonstrate that  
14 there is a governmental interest sufficient to overcome the privacy interests of Graph  
15 Foundation's donors. *Ctr. for Competitive Politics v. Harris*, 784 F.3d 1307, 1317 (9th  
16 Cir. 2015).

17 **SO ORDERED**

18 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2020

20 \_\_\_\_\_  
21 Judge Susan van Keulen  
United States Magistrate Judge

22

23

24

25

26

27

28